

This article was downloaded by:

On: 14 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## **Molecular Simulation**

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713644482>

## **Ergodicity of the Nosé-Hoover method**

H. Watanabe<sup>a</sup>; Hiroto Kobayashi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Complex Systems Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan <sup>b</sup> Department of Natural Science and Mathematics, Chubu University, Kasugai, Japan

**To cite this Article** Watanabe, H. and Kobayashi, Hiroto(2007) 'Ergodicity of the Nosé-Hoover method', *Molecular Simulation*, 33: 1, 77 – 81

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/08927020601075311

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927020601075311>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# Ergodicity of the Nosé–Hoover method

H. WATANABE<sup>†\*</sup> and HIROTO KOBAYASHI<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Complex Systems Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Natural Science and Mathematics, Chubu University, Kasugai 487-8501, Japan

(Received July 2006; in final form October 2006)

Ergodicity of the systems with Nosé–Hoover thermostat are studied. The dynamics of the heatbath variables are investigated and they can be periodic when the system has quick oscillation. Their periodic behaviour causes the system to lose its ergodicity. The kinetic-moments method is also studied, and the heatbath variables in this method are found to be chaotic. The chaotic behaviour makes the whole system ergodic.

**Keywords:** Nosé–Hoover thermostat; Heatbath; Ergodicity; Kinetic-moments method

## 1. Introduction

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have become more powerful tools with the development in performance of computers. The standard MD simulations have been performed with constant energy, and therefore, these simulations have taken samples in the microcanonical ensemble. It is required to perform constant-temperature MD [1], because most of the physical quantities are observed in a constant-temperature environment. Nosé proposed the extended system method which allows to simulate constant-temperature MD conserving time-reversible property [2]. Hoover reformulated the Nosé's method to a more practical one, which is now called the Nosé–Hoover method [3].

Recently, the Nosé–Hoover thermostat has been applied not only for the equilibrium system but also for non-equilibrium phenomena. Ogushi *et al.* studied the heat conduction of the three-dimensional Lennard–Jones particle system using molecular dynamics simulation [4]. They put two thermostats with different temperatures on the both ends of the system to achieve the spontaneous phase separation.

The Nosé–Hoover method achieves Gibbs' canonical ensemble when the system is ergodic. However, some numerical researches report that the system with a small degree of freedom often loses its ergodicity, and consequently, the system cannot be canonical in Gibbs'

sense. In the system studied by Ogushi *et al.* for instance, the density at the higher-temperature side becomes dilute, and the number of particles controlled by the thermostat can be very small. Therefore, the system can lose the ergodicity.

In order to improve the ergodicity of a system with thermostat, many methods have been proposed [5,6]. However, there are less studies investigating why and how the system loses its ergodicity. In the present article, we focus the ergodicity of some systems in which temperature controlled by the Nosé–Hoover method.

## 2. Nosé–Hoover method

Consider an isolated system described by a Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$  with momenta  $\mathbf{p}$  and coordinates  $\mathbf{q}$ . The equations of motion are

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}, \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\dot{A}$  denotes the differentiation of  $A$  with respect to time. The energy of the system is conserved.

Following Nosé and Hoover, we can achieve the canonical ensemble by modifying the equations of motion

\*Corresponding author. Email: hwatanabe@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp




Figure 1. (a) Phase diagram and (b) an energy histogram of the harmonic-oscillator system.

as follows:

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i}, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_i} - \zeta p_i, \quad (4)$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = \left( \frac{K}{K_0} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\tau^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{\eta} = \zeta, \quad (6)$$

where  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  are additional variables expressing the Nosé–Hoover thermostat, and  $K$ ,  $K_0$ , and  $\tau$  are the kinetic energy, the aimed temperature, and the relaxation time of the thermostat, respectively.

While the system with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat does not have the canonical form, a quantity

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} + K_0(\tau\zeta^2 + 2\eta) \quad (7)$$

is conserved with the original Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$ . The energy of the system without the thermostat can fluctuate with the Boltzmann weight  $\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H})$ . Therefore, the system will achieve the canonical ensemble when the system is ergodic.

### 3. Simulation

In order to investigate the ergodicity of the system with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat, we study the following two systems,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ho}} = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2), \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ym}} = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}q_1^2 q_2^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ho}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ym}}$  are the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator and the Yang–Mills type, respectively.

We performed numerical simulations of the two systems with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat. The time evolution of the systems were calculated by the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method. The time step  $\Delta t$  is set to be 0.005, the aimed temperature  $K_0$  is 0.5, and the total step is  $10^7$ . The results for the harmonic oscillator are shown in figure 1. This figure shows that the system loses the ergodicity, and the distribution of the energy is far from that of the canonical ensemble.

The results of the Yang–Mills system are shown in figure 2. The phase diagram of  $(p_1, p_2)$  is chaotic, and the histogram of the energy shows canonical distribution,




Figure 2. (a) Phase diagram, and (b) an energy histogram of the Yang–Mills system.




Figure 3. The harmonic-oscillator system. Time evolutions of the heatbath variables: (a)  $\zeta$ , and (b)  $\eta$  are shown.

while the harmonic-oscillator system does not. It suggests that the Yang–Mills system with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat is ergodic while it has only two degrees of freedom.

We focus the dynamics of the heatbath variables in order to figure out why the two systems show different behaviours. The time evolution of the heatbath variables for the harmonic-oscillator system is shown in figure 3. The both variables seems to be periodic, and the absolute values of them are bounded throughout the simulations.

The time evolution for the Yang–Mills system is shown in figure 4. The behaviour of the heatbath variables seems to be chaotic, and the amplitude is much larger compared to the harmonic-oscillator case. The chaotic behaviour of the heatbath variables is essential for the ergodicity of the system with Nosé–Hoover thermostat.

#### 4. Dynamics of heatbath variables

In this section, we derive the differential equation for the heatbath variables in order to investigate why the variable show the periodic behaviour in the harmonic-oscillator system. The equations of motion equations (3)–(5) are

transformed to be

$$\ddot{\zeta} + 2\dot{\zeta}\zeta + \frac{2}{\tau^2}\zeta = -\frac{1}{K_0\tau^2}pq, \quad (10)$$

in the harmonic-oscillator case.

With large enough  $\tau$ , the heatbath variable  $\zeta$  varies much slower than  $pq$ . Therefore, we can take an approximation  $\langle pq \rangle = 0$ . Assuming the scaling form for  $\zeta$  to be

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \bar{\zeta}(t/\tau), \quad (11)$$

we have the differential equation for  $\bar{\zeta}$  to be

$$\ddot{\bar{\zeta}} + 2\dot{\bar{\zeta}}\bar{\zeta} + \bar{\zeta} = 0, \quad (12)$$

which is independent of  $\tau$ . Therefore,  $\tau$ -dependence of  $\zeta$  should have the form in equation (11). A quantity

$$H_{\bar{\zeta}} = \bar{\zeta}^2 + \dot{\bar{\zeta}} - \log(\bar{\zeta} + 1) \quad (13)$$

is conserved in equation (12). We can prove that  $\bar{\zeta}$  and  $\dot{\bar{\zeta}}$  are bounded because of  $\bar{\zeta} + 1 = K/K_0 > 0$ . Therefore, the variable  $\bar{\zeta}$  should be periodic. Note that  $\eta$  should also be periodic since  $\bar{\zeta}$  is an odd function.




Figure 4. The Yang–Mills system. Time evolutions of the heatbath variables: (a)  $\zeta$ , and (b)  $\eta$  are shown.




Figure 5: Time evolution of  $\zeta$ . The initial condition is  $\zeta|_{t=0} = 0$ ,  $\dot{\zeta}|_{t=0} = 1$ . The behaviour of the heatbath variable is periodic.

We solved equation (12) numerically using the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method. The initial condition is  $\zeta|_{t=0} = 0$ ,  $\dot{\zeta}|_{t=0} = 1$ . The results are shown in figure 5. The behaviour is periodic as expected.

## 5. Kinetic-moments method

Kinetic-moments method is one of the methods proposed to improve the ergodicity even for the harmonic-oscillator case [6]. This method controls the kinetic energy  $\langle K \rangle$  and its fluctuation  $\langle K^2 \rangle - \langle K \rangle^2$  simultaneously. The equations of motion in this method are:

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}, \quad (14)$$

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q} - \zeta p - \xi p^3, \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{\zeta} = (p^2 - 1)/\tau_\zeta, \quad (16)$$

$$\dot{\xi} = (p^4 - 3p^2)/\tau_\xi, \quad (17)$$

with an additional heatbath variable  $\xi$ . While Hoover and Holian reported the system with the kinetic-moments thermostat shows good canonical distribution, they did not explain why the method achieves ergodicity.

Here, we discuss the behaviour of the heatbath variables in the method. We simulated the harmonic-oscillator system with the kinetic-moments thermostat. The details of the simulation are same as the previous. The time evolution of the two heatbath variables  $\zeta$  and  $\xi$  are shown in figure 6. The heatbath variables seem to be chaotic while the variables are periodic in the Nosé–Hoover system.

We can derive the differential equations for the heatbath variables in the kinetic-moments case. The equations are complex, and are expected not to have any conserved values. Therefore, the kinetic-moments method achieves the ergodicity because of the chaotic behaviour of the heatbath variables, not by controlling higher moments of the kinetic energy.

## 6. Summary and discussion

We have studied the ergodicity of the Nosé–Hoover thermostat in the two systems, the one-dimensional harmonic-oscillator and Yang–Mills systems. The numerical results have shown that the Yang–Mills system is ergodic while the harmonic-oscillator system is not.

In order to study why the two systems have shown the different behaviour, we have derived the differential equation for the heatbath variable. When the system oscillates quickly, the heatbath variable becomes periodic, and consequently the whole system cannot be ergodic. Therefore the Nosé–Hoover system loses its ergodicity not because the system has a small degrees of freedom, but the heatbath variables becomes periodic. A particle system with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat will be ergodic, since quick oscillations are not expected to exist, even if the system has small degrees of freedom. We also explain




Figure 6: Time evolutions of the heatbath variables. (a) Time evolution of  $\zeta$ , and (b) that of  $\xi$  are shown. The behaviour seem to be chaotic and the amplitudes of the fluctuation are much larger than that of the Nosé–Hoover system.

$\tau$ -dependence of the heatbath variable in the amplitude and the period at the same time.

We have also studied the ergodicity of the kinetic-moments method. This method contains additional heatbath variables to the Nosé–Hoover method, and it makes the heatbath variables chaotic. The chaotic behaviour of the variables achieves the ergodicity of the whole system. These results imply that at least one of the original system and the heatbath variables should be chaotic in order that the whole system be ergodic.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Miyashita, Ito, and Todo groups for fruitful discussion. We also thank Sasa group for useful suggestions. This work was partially supported by the

21st COE program, “Frontiers of Computational Science”, Nagoya University.

### References

- [1] S. Nosé. Constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.*, **103**, 1 (1991).
- [2] S. Nosé. A molecular dynamics method for simulations in the canonical ensemble. *Mol. Phys.*, **52**, 255 (1984).
- [3] W.G. Hoover. Canonical dynamics: equilibrium phase-space distributions. *Phys. Rev. A*, **31**, 1695 (1985).
- [4] F. Ogushi, S. Yukawa, N. Ito. Heat conduction phenomena of Lennard–Jones particle system in supercritical fluid phase. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **74**, 827 (2005).
- [5] G.J. Martyna, M.L. Klein, M. Tuckerman. Nosé–Hoover chains: the canonical ensemble via continuous dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **97**, 2635 (1992).
- [6] W.G. Hoover, B.L. Holian. Kinetic moments method for the canonical ensemble distribution. *Phys. Lett. A*, **211**, 253 (1996).